

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,318		09/25/2003	Toshio Numata	2860-4920.1US	5653
24247	7590	11/10/2004		EXAMINER	
TRASK B	RITT		PAIK, STEVE S		
P.O. BOX 2550 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2876	TALERNOMBER
				DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>/</b>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	10/671,318	NUMATA, TOSHIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven S. Paik	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	eptember 2003.					
	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2876

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 10 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 10, the phrase, "said cut surfaces" in line 3 lacks a proper antecedent basis. If the applicant intends to refer to a slit area, it is respectfully suggested to change it by -- said slit area --. Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 13, the word, "an" in line 7 appears to be -- a --. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2876

Claim 15 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,734,953. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 15 of the present invention and claim 1 of the '953 patent are essentially comprising very similar structure. For example, claim 15 of present invention recites "a first infrared light LED and a first visible light LED provided on an end face of said first light guide plate; said light projecting and receiving section being formed by a second light guide plate to uniformly emit light from a whole surface for irradiating through a slit are substantially over entire passing width of said bank notes, a second infrared light LED and a second visible light LED provided on an end face of said second light guide plate...", and claim 1 of the '953 patent recites "an ultraviolet light LED, an infrared light LED and a first green light LED provided on an end face of said first light guide plate; said light projecting and receiving section being formed by a second light guide plate to uniformly emit light from a surface for irradiating through a slit area substantially over entire passing width of said bank notes, a red light LED, a second green light LED and a blue light LED provided on an end face of said second light guide plate...". Both the ultraviolet light LED and the infrared light LED are invisible to a naked eyes as the first and second infrared light LEDs in the present application. Furthermore, the green light LED, blue light Led and the red light LED are visible light LEDs.

Thus, in respect to above discussions, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 6,734,953 as a general teachings for a bank note processing machine

Art Unit: 2876

to perform the same functions as claimed by present application. The instant claim obviously encompasses the above-mentioned patent and differs only in reciting specific types and light colors of LED using a broader limitation.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-12 and 14 are allowable.

Claim 13 is allowable if the applicant corrects the informality discussed above.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record, teaches or fairly suggests the bank note processing machine structured as claimed in claims 1-14 comprising, among other things, light from an LED being inputted to a light guide plate and an advance direction of entered light being changed into a perpendicular direction to a light axis of said light plate and light being uniformly emitted from a whole surface of said light guide plate irrespective of a distance from the LED.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (5:30am-2:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2876

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven S. Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

Gen Pah

ssp